

July 22, 1971

FRA-TM-16

AN ACCURATE METHOD FOR EVALUATING THE OVERLAP TERM
IN THE UNRESOLVED REGION

Richard N. Hwang

Argonne National Laboratory
Applied Physics Division
9700 South Cass Avenue
Argonne, Illinois 60439

FRA TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM NO. 16

Results reported in the FRA-TM series of memoranda frequently are preliminary and subject to revision. Consequently they should not be quoted or referenced without the author's permission.

Work performed under the auspices of the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

An Accurate Method for Evaluating the Overlap Term in the Unresolved Region

Richard N. Hwang

Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439

ABSTRACT

An accurate and efficient method has been developed for estimating the overlap effect due to the neighboring resonances of the same spin sequence in the unresolved region. In contrast to the previously developed method, the new method is capable of treating practically all energy regions of interest in the fast reactor applications. The Dyson's correlation function is used to account for the proper correlation of levels. In the numerical calculations, the exact Doppler-broadened line-shape function is used. The method has been made economical for routine calculations in the fast reactor applications.

I. INTRODUCTION

Extensive studies on the role of the resonance overlap effect in fast reactor calculations have been described in previous work.^{1,2} A rather crude method was proposed for estimating the overlap effect of neighboring resonances of the same sequence in the unresolved energy region. This early method is believed to be reasonably accurate in the energy region where resonances are strongly overlapping. However, it becomes questionable in the relatively low-energy region and for the cases where the "equivalent" potential scattering cross section becomes small. These are the situations that one must face in the analysis of Doppler-effect experiments and of heterogeneity studies for critical assemblies. The significance of the overlap effect on the temperature dependence of the Doppler coefficient under meltdown conditions where the extremely high temperature of the fuel pin may be accompanied by spectrum hardening due to the loss of sodium must also be realized. Under this condition, even the well-separated ^{238}U resonances become strongly overlapping. The accurate estimation of the overlap effect is, therefore, important in fast reactor safety studies. Some preliminary results concerning this problem have been given in previous work.³ Hence, an accurate estimation of the overlap effect is desirable.

The purpose of this report is to describe a newly developed method for estimating the overlap effect due to resonances of the same spin sequence in the unresolved energy region. Improvement on the earlier method^{1,2} has been made in three general areas. First of all, the exact Doppler-broadened line-shape function $\psi(\sigma, x)$ is used instead of the approximate Gaussian form used previously. Secondly, the new method is

made applicable even in the relatively low-energy region and for cases where the equivalent scattering cross sections per absorbing atoms σ_p^{eq} is small. The assumption that $[\psi(\theta, x)/\beta] \ll 1$ used in the previous method has been avoided. This assumption obviously breaks down whenever $[\psi(\theta, 0)/\beta] \leq 0$. Finally, the Dyson's correlation function⁴ for levels is used instead of the rather crude approximation used in the previous work.^{1,2} The use of the approximate correlation function described previously was found to underestimate the overlap effect in the high-energy region.⁵

The analytical and numerical foundations of the proposed method are described in detail from Section II through Section V. In order to test and evaluate the merits of the proposed method, a code has been developed. Section VI describes the CHOPSUEY code and its computing time required for calculations of practical interest. The listing of relevant subroutines are also given.

II. FORMULATION OF THE OVERLAP TERM

If the NR-approximation and the "nearest neighbor" approximation^{1,2} are assumed, the overlap term for a given process x due to the resonances of the same sequence can be written as

$$\tilde{O}_x = \frac{1}{\langle D \rangle} \left\langle \frac{\Gamma_{xk}}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Omega(\delta) \frac{d\delta}{\langle D \rangle} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\psi_k}{\beta_k + \psi_k} \frac{A_{k'} \psi_{k'}}{\beta_{k'} + \psi_{k'} + A_{k'} \psi_{k'}} dx_{k'} \right\rangle_{k \text{ and } k'}, \quad (1)$$

where $A_{k'}$ is the ratio of the peak cross section of resonance k' with respect to a given resonance k and $\langle D \rangle$ is the average level spacing.

The brackets $\langle \rangle$ indicate the statistical average over the distribution functions of the resonance parameters of both k -th and k' -th resonances. The correlation function $\Omega(\delta)$ is the probability that any k' -th resonance will be found at a distance of $\delta = E_k - E_{k'}$ from a given resonance k . For our purpose, $\Omega(\delta)$ is taken to be Dyson's two-level correlation function.^{4,5}

$$\Omega(y) = 1 - \{s(y)\}^2 + \frac{\partial s(y)}{\partial y} \text{si}(y), \quad (2)$$

where

$$y = \frac{\pi |E_k - E_{k'}|}{D} \quad (3)$$

$$s(y) = \frac{\sin |y|}{y} \quad (4)$$

and the sine integral $\text{si}(y)$ is defined as

$$\text{si}(y) = - \int_y^\infty \frac{\sin t}{t} dt. \quad (5)$$

Equation (1) involves multiple integrals. A direct numerical approach is believed to be extremely time-consuming, if at all practical, for routine design calculations. Equation (1) can be simplified considerably by series expansion.

Note that the integral can be expressed as

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\psi_k}{\beta_k + \psi_k} \frac{A_k \psi_{k'}}{\beta_{k'} + \psi_{k'} + A_k \psi_{k'}} dx_k = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\psi_k}{\beta_k + \psi_k} \left\{ \frac{\psi_{k'}}{\beta_{k'} + \psi_{k'}} - \frac{A_k \psi_k \psi_{k'}}{(\beta_{k'} + \psi_{k'})^2} + \dots \right.$$

provided the resulting integrals are uniformly convergent. The substitution of Eq. (6) into Eq. (1) gives

$$\tilde{0}_x = K_1 - K_2 + \dots, \quad (7)$$

where

$$K_1 = \frac{1}{\langle D \rangle^2} \langle \Gamma_{xk} J \rangle_k \langle \Gamma_{k'J} \rangle_{k'} - L_1, \quad (8)$$

$$L_1 = \frac{1}{\langle D \rangle} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} W\left(\frac{\delta}{\langle D \rangle}\right) \frac{d\delta}{\langle D \rangle} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\langle \frac{\Gamma_{xk}}{2} \frac{\psi_k}{\beta_k + \psi_k} \right\rangle_k \left\langle \frac{\Gamma_{k'}}{2} \frac{\psi_{k'}}{\beta_{k'} + \psi_{k'}} \right\rangle_{k'} dx_k, \quad (9)$$

$$J = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\psi_k dx_k}{\beta_k + \psi_k}, \quad (9a)$$

$$K_2 = -\frac{1}{\langle D \rangle^2} \langle \tau_{xk} \rangle_k \left\langle \frac{\Gamma_{k'}}{2} \sigma_p^{eq} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_p^{eq}} J \right\rangle_{k'} - L_2, \quad (10)$$

$$L_2 = -\frac{1}{\langle D \rangle} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} W\left(\frac{\delta}{\langle D \rangle}\right) \frac{d\delta}{\langle D \rangle} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\langle \frac{\Gamma_{xk}}{2} \left[\frac{\psi_k}{\beta_k} - \frac{\psi_k}{\beta_k + \psi_k} \right] \right\rangle_k \left\langle \frac{\Gamma_{k'}}{2} \sigma_p^{eq} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_p^{eq}} \frac{\psi_{k'}}{\beta_{k'} + \psi_{k'}} \right\rangle_{k'} dx_k, \quad (11)$$

$$\tau_{xk} = \frac{\Gamma_{xk}}{2\beta_k} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\psi_k^2}{\beta_k + \psi_k} dx_k = \frac{\pi}{2} \left\langle \frac{\Gamma_{xk}}{\beta_k} \right\rangle - \langle \Gamma_{xk} J \rangle_k, \quad (12)$$

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_p^{\text{eq}}} \left(\frac{\psi_k}{\beta_k + \psi_k} \right) = \left[\frac{\psi_k}{\beta_k + \psi_k} - \frac{\psi_k^2}{(\beta_k + \psi_k)^2} \right] \frac{1}{\sigma_p^{\text{eq}}}, \quad (13)$$

and

$$W\left(\frac{\delta}{\langle D \rangle}\right) = 1 - \Omega\left(\frac{\delta}{\langle D \rangle}\right). \quad (14)$$

For cases of practical interest, the series in Eq. (7) is uniformly convergent on physical grounds. In contrast to the series expansion used in the previous work, it is clear that the terms in Eq. (7) will converge more rapidly even in the relatively low-energy region. In the region where the previous series expansion is valid, Eq. (7) is equivalent to the inclusion of many terms in the previous expression. In the high-energy region, both expressions become identical. The rapidity of convergence of Eq. (7) depends on the degree of the self-shielding effect of the given isotope and the ratio of the average level spacing and the Doppler width in the energy region under consideration. Note that $\langle \tau_{xk} \rangle$ defined in Eq. (12) represents the degree of self-shielding effect and is proportional to $1 - \bar{s}$ where \bar{s} is the self-shielding factor

$$\langle \Gamma_{xk}^J \rangle / \left\langle \frac{\Gamma_{xk} \pi}{2 \beta_k} \right\rangle.$$

In fact, for practically all problems of interest in the unresolved region, the self-shielding effect is relatively small and $\langle \tau_{xk} \rangle$ is generally much smaller than $\langle \Gamma_{xk}^J \rangle_k$. From Eqs. (8), (10), and (13), it is obvious that $K_1 \gg K_2$ if the self-shielding effect is relatively weak. A similar argument can be made to show that the higher-order terms are also small. It is generally true that the resonance sequence with large average spacing

will tend to have a stronger self-shielding effect in the low-energy region. It will be shown in a later section that one seldom needs to retain the higher-order terms beyond K_2 and all K_n terms become identically zero when the average spacing $\langle D \rangle$ becomes much larger than the corresponding Doppler width and the average total width.

Since the J-integral and other related integrals can be readily evaluated using the algorithm proposed previously,⁶ the main task here is to evaluate the integral L_1 . Once L_1 is known, L_2 can be evaluated quite readily as one will see.

III. EVALUATION OF L_1

L_1 defined in Eq. (9) is still too complicated and a direct numerical approach will undoubtedly require excessive computing time. Furthermore, $W(\delta/\langle D \rangle)$ involves oscillatory functions which are highly undesirable for quadrature formulas. The problem can be resolved by the use of the Fourier transform technique.

A. Technique of Fourier Transform

Define a function $P_k(\xi)$ such that

$$P_k(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\psi_k e^{i\xi x_k}}{\beta_k + \psi_k} dx_k = F \left\{ \frac{\psi_k}{\beta_k + \psi_k} \right\}. \quad (15)$$

The Fourier transform of $W(\delta/\langle D \rangle)$, say $\omega(\xi)$, is^{4,5}

will tend to have a stronger self-shielding effect in the low-energy region. It will be shown in a later section that two bodies need to contain the higher-order terms beyond K_2 and all K_n terms become identical only when the average spacing $\langle l \rangle$ becomes much larger than the corresponding Debye length and the average Fermi width.

Since the I -integral and other related integrals can be readily evaluated using the algorithm proposed previously,⁵ the main task here is to evaluate the integral I_1 . Since I_1 is given, I_2 can be evaluated quite readily as one will see.

III. EVALUATION OF I_1

I_1 defined in Eq. (8) is still too complicated and a direct numerical algorithm will undoubtedly require excessive computing time. Furthermore, $W(\lambda(\nu))$ involves oscillatory functions which are highly sensitive to the quadrature formulas. The problem can be resolved by the use of the Fourier transform technique.

A. Technique of Fourier Transform

Define a function $F_1(\nu)$ such that

$$F_1(\nu) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{i\nu x}}{x^2 + \nu^2} dx = F\left(\frac{\nu}{\nu^2 + \nu^2}\right) \quad (10)$$

The Fourier transform of $W(\lambda(\nu))$, say $w(\lambda)$, is

$$\begin{aligned}
 \omega(\xi) &= \frac{\langle D \rangle}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left\{ 1 - \left| \frac{\langle D \rangle \xi}{\pi} \right| + \left| \frac{\langle D \rangle \xi}{2\pi} \right| \ln \left[1 + \left| \frac{\langle D \rangle \xi}{\pi} \right| \right] \right\}; \quad \left| \frac{\langle D \rangle \xi}{2\pi} \right| \leq 1 \\
 &= \frac{\langle D \rangle}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left\{ -1 + \left| \frac{\langle D \rangle \xi}{2\pi} \right| \ln \left[\frac{|\langle D \rangle \xi / \pi| + 1}{|\langle D \rangle \xi / \pi| - 1} \right] \right\}; \quad \left| \frac{\langle D \rangle \xi}{2\pi} \right| > 1. \quad (16)
 \end{aligned}$$

From the convolution theorem and the Parseval theorem,⁷ it can be shown quite readily that

$$L_1 = \frac{1}{2\langle D \rangle^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sqrt{2\pi} \omega(\xi) \left\langle \left\langle \Gamma_{xk} P_k \left(\frac{\Gamma_k}{2} \xi \right) \right\rangle_k \left\langle \frac{\Gamma_{k'}}{2} P_{k'} \left(\frac{\Gamma_{k'}}{2} \xi \right) \right\rangle_{k'} \right\rangle d\xi. \quad (17)$$

Note that the quantities inside the brackets are the statistically averaged values over the appropriate distribution functions of resonance parameters. The same subscript can be used for the two averaged quantities.

It is interesting to note that $P_k(\xi)$ is the unique solution of the following integral equation

$$\beta_k P_k(\xi) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(\xi-t)^2/\theta_k^2 - |\xi-t|} P_k(t) dt = \sqrt{\pi/2} e^{-\xi^2/\theta_k^2 - |\xi|}, \quad (18)$$

where the function on the right-hand side is simply the Fourier transform of the ψ -function as described in Ref. 8.

Before attempting to solve these integral equations, it is important to realize some analytical characteristics of $P_k(\Gamma_k \xi/2)$. First of all,

$P_k(\Gamma_k \xi/2)$ is an even function so that $P_k(\Gamma_k \xi/2) = P_k(-\Gamma_k \xi/2)$. Secondly, in the limit of large β_k , $P_k(\Gamma_k \xi/2)$ approaches asymptotically to

$$P_k\left(\frac{\Gamma_k}{2} \xi\right) \cong \frac{\sqrt{\pi/2}}{\beta_k} \exp\left[-\frac{\Delta^2}{4} \xi^2 - \frac{\Gamma_k}{2} |\xi|\right], \quad (19)$$

where Δ is the Doppler width. Thirdly, in another extreme where Γ_k is large compared to Δ , ψ becomes Lorentzian. As a result, $P_k(\Gamma_k \xi/2)$ approaches

$$P_k\left(\frac{\Gamma_k}{2} \xi\right) \cong \frac{\sqrt{\pi/2}}{\sqrt{\beta_k(\beta_k + 1)}} \exp\left[-\frac{\Gamma_k}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\beta_k + 1}{\beta_k}} \xi\right], \quad (20)$$

according to Eq. (15). Of particular academic interest is the fact that Eq. (20) yields

$$L_1 = \frac{\pi^2}{4\langle D \rangle^2} \left\langle \Gamma_{k'} \Gamma_k \sqrt{\frac{1}{\beta_k(\beta_k + 1)}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{\beta_{k'}(\beta_{k'} + 1)}} \frac{1}{z} \left\{ 1 - \exp(-z) \frac{\sinh z}{z} - \text{Ei}(-z) \left(\cosh z - \frac{\sinh z}{z} \right) \right\} \right\rangle_{k \neq k'}, \quad (20a)$$

where $-\text{Ei}(-z)$ is the exponential integral, and

$$z = \frac{\pi}{\langle D \rangle} \left[\Gamma_k \sqrt{\frac{\beta_{k'} + 1}{\beta_k}} + \Gamma_{k'} \sqrt{\frac{\beta_k + 1}{\beta_{k'}}} \right] / 2. \quad (20b)$$

It follows that

$$K_1 = \frac{\pi^2}{4\langle D \rangle^2} \left\langle \Gamma_{xk} \sqrt{\frac{1}{\beta_k(\beta_k + 1)}} \Gamma_{k'} \sqrt{\frac{1}{\beta_{k'}(\beta_{k'} + 1)}} \phi_0(z) \right\rangle_{k\delta k'} \quad (20c)$$

where $\phi_0(z)$ is identical to that derived by Moldauer⁹ for the correction term of the fluctuation in the reaction cross section based on a completely different physical argument. This signifies the strong analogy between these overlap correction terms.

The characteristics defined by Eq. (19) and Eq. (20) provide some clues on how $\langle \Gamma_{xk} P_k(\Gamma_k \xi/2) \rangle$ and $\langle (\Gamma_k/2) P_k(\Gamma_k \xi/2) \rangle$ vary as functions of ξ . This information is extremely important in the subsequent construction of quadrature formulas.

With proper normalization, Eqs. (17) and (18) can be evaluated quite readily using Gauss-Hermite quadratures. Define a new variable

$$\eta = \alpha \xi, \quad (21)$$

where α is set to be

$$\alpha = \sqrt{(\langle D \rangle / \pi)^2 + (\Delta^2/2) + \gamma^2}$$

and

$$\gamma = \left\langle \Gamma_k \sqrt{\frac{\beta_k + 1}{\beta_k}} \right\rangle \quad (22)$$

Equation (17) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
L_1 = & \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{1}{2\langle D \rangle^2} \sum_{i=1}^N a_i \sqrt{2\pi} \omega(\eta_i/\alpha) \left\langle \Gamma_{\mathbf{x}k} g_2(\eta_i) P_k \left(\frac{\Gamma_k \eta_i}{2\alpha} \right) \right\rangle \\
& \cdot \left\langle \frac{\Gamma_k}{2} g_2(\eta_i) P_k \left(\frac{\Gamma_k \eta_i}{2\alpha} \right) \right\rangle g_1(\eta_i) + R_N, \quad (23)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$g_2(\eta_i) = \exp \left[\frac{\Delta^2 \eta_i^2}{4\alpha^2} \right] \quad (24)$$

$$g_1(\eta_i) = \exp \left[\left(\frac{\langle D \rangle^2}{\pi^2 \alpha^2} + \frac{\gamma^2}{\alpha^2} \right) \eta_i^2 \right] \quad (25)$$

$$a_n = \frac{2^{n-1} n! \sqrt{\pi}}{n^2 \left[H_{n-1}(\eta_n) \right]^2} \quad (25a)$$

$$R_N = \frac{N! \sqrt{\pi}}{2^N (2N)!} f^{(2N)}(y) \quad (-\infty < y < \infty) \quad (25b)$$

and η_i is the i -th zero of the Hermite polynomial $H_n(\eta)$ and $f^{(2n)}(y)$ is the $2n$ -th derivatives of the integrand. Here, the purpose of changing the variable is to ensure that the integrand varies slowly as a function of η in order to make the Gauss-Hermite quadrature highly efficient regardless what values of $\langle D \rangle$, Δ , Γ_k , or β_k are. Similarly, the integral in Eq. (18) can be replaced by the Gauss-Hermite quadrature so that the resulting equation assumes a matrix equation of the form

$$AP = B. \quad (26)$$

By normalizing each row of the matrix element of **A** to its diagonal element, one has

$$A_{ij} = \frac{a_i}{4\alpha} g_1(\eta_j) g_2(\eta_j) \Gamma_k \exp \left[\frac{\Delta^2}{2\alpha^2} \eta_i \eta_j - \frac{\Gamma_k}{2\alpha} |\eta_i - \eta_j| \right] / N_0$$

(27)

and

$$A_{ij} = 1; \quad \text{for } i = j, \quad (27a)$$

where

$$N_0 = g_2(\eta_i) \cdot \left[\beta_k + \frac{a_i \Gamma_k}{4\alpha} \cdot g_3(\eta_i) \right] \quad (28)$$

$$g_3(\eta_i) = \left[g_2(\eta_i) \right]^2 \cdot g_1(\eta_i) \quad (29)$$

$$B_i = \sqrt{\pi/2} \exp \left[-\frac{\Gamma_k}{2} \left| \frac{\eta_i}{\alpha} \right| \right] / N_0. \quad (30)$$

Hence, the quantities $P_k(\Gamma_k \eta_i / 2\alpha)$ needed for Eq. (23) are just the solution of a system of N equations. An efficient matrix inversion routine is needed for this purpose. The matrix **A** can be further simplified by partition utilizing the symmetric properties of P_k . The detailed discussion will be given in the next section. It should be noted that the normalization of the matrix proposed here is extremely important from a

numerical point of view. This ensures that the coefficients of each linear equation will not have vanishingly small magnitudes simultaneously.

From Eq. (23) and Eq. (18), it is clear that the Gauss-Hermite quadrature is most efficient and accurate when the Doppler width is larger than or comparable to the average spacing and the average total width. On the other hand, the Gauss-Hermite quadrature is less efficient when Δ becomes small. Under the latter condition, $P_k(\Gamma_k \xi/2)$ approaches the exponential form defined by Eq. (20). From a practical point of view, the proposed quadrature is highly desirable because it yields accurate values for cases with large Doppler width where the relative importance of the overlap effect is high. For the cases with small Doppler width where the overlap effect is less important, the accuracy of the results is less critical. It was found that a 10-point Gauss-Hermite quadrature is sufficient to give accurate results.

Since the matrix inversion of a relatively large matrix is generally time consuming, it is, therefore, desirable to optimize the proposed method to suit the routine application.

IV. ECONOMIZATION

The proposed method can be made more economical in two general areas: (1) in the region where β_k is much larger than $\psi(\theta_k, 0)$, the matrix inversion can be avoided completely; and (2) in the region where the matrix inversion is necessary the matrix \mathbf{A} can be partitioned into four submatrices of the size $N/2 \times N/2$ where N is chosen to be 10 or any even number. The symmetry properties of $P_k(\Gamma_k \eta_i/2\alpha)$ can be utilized.

numerical point of view. This means that the coefficients of each linear equation will not have unacceptably small magnitudes simultaneously. From Eqs. (12) and (14), it is clear that the rank-1 condition is most efficient and accurate than the higher rank is larger than or comparable to the average spacing and the average total width. On the other hand, the rank-1 condition is less efficient than a higher rank. Under the latter condition, $P_k(x, y)$ approaches the experimental data defined by Eq. (10). From a practical point of view, the proposed procedure is highly desirable because it yields some rate values for cases with large frequency width where the relative importance of the overlap effect is high. For the cases with small frequency width where the overlap effect is less important, the accuracy of the results is less critical. It was found that a 10-point Gauss-Hermite quadrature is sufficient to give accurate results. Since the matrix inversion of a relatively large matrix is generally the dominating factor, it is, therefore, desirable to calculate the proposed method to solve the matrix equation.

IV. DISCUSSION

The proposed method can be well extended in two general areas: (1) in the region where ϵ is much larger than ϵ_0 , the matrix inversion can be avoided completely; and (2) in the region where the matrix inversion is necessary the matrix A can be partitioned into four submatrices of the size $N/2 \times N/2$ where N is chosen to be 16 or any even number. The sparsity properties of $P_k(x, y)$ can be utilized.

1. Asymptotic Region $\left[\frac{\beta_k + \psi(\theta_k, 0)}{\psi(\theta_k, 0)} \geq 2.5 \right]$

As discussed previously,⁶ the quantity $\psi_k / (\beta_k + \psi_k)$ can be represented by a uniformly convergent series

$$\frac{\psi_k}{\beta_k + \psi_k} = \frac{\psi_k}{\beta_k + \rho} + \frac{\psi_k(\rho - \psi_k)}{(\beta_k + \rho)^2} + \dots, \quad (31)$$

where the parameter ρ can be chosen so that the resulting integrals converge rapidly. One way of choosing ρ is to set

$$\rho = \frac{\int_0^\infty \psi_k^2 dx}{\int_0^\infty \psi_k dx} = \frac{1}{2} \psi(\sqrt{2} \theta_k, 0). \quad (31a)$$

By substituting Eq. (31a) into Eq. (15), it can be shown quite readily^{5,8} that

$$P_k \left(\frac{\Gamma_k}{2} \xi \right) \cong \sqrt{\pi/2} \exp \left[-\frac{\Delta^2}{4} \xi^2 - \frac{\Gamma_k}{2} |\xi| \right] \cdot Q(\xi) / (\beta_k + \rho) + \dots, \quad (32)$$

where

$$I = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} \phi(x, 0)}{\phi(x, 0)} dx}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} \phi(x, 0)}{\phi(x, 0)} dx}.$$

As discussed previously,⁵ the quantity $\phi(x, 0)$ can be represented by a uniformly convergent series

$$(1) \quad \phi(x, 0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left[\frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} + \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} + \dots \right]$$

since the parameter α can be chosen so that the resulting integrals converge rapidly. The way of choosing α is as set

$$(2) \quad \alpha = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^2 \phi(x, 0) dx}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x, 0) dx}.$$

By substituting in (1) into (2), it can be shown quite readily⁵

$$(3) \quad \alpha = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^2 \phi(x, 0) dx}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x, 0) dx} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^2 \phi(x, 0) dx}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x, 0) dx} + \frac{1}{2} \right].$$

$$Q_k(\xi) = 1 + \{\rho - U\} / \{\beta_k + \rho\} \quad (33)$$

$$U = \frac{\theta\sqrt{\pi}}{2\sqrt{2}} \left[\exp\left(\frac{\Delta|\xi|}{2\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\theta}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2 \operatorname{Erfc}\left(\frac{\Delta|\xi|}{2\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\theta}{\sqrt{2}}\right) + \exp\left(\frac{\Delta^2\xi^2}{8}\right) \operatorname{Erf}\left(\frac{\Delta|\xi|}{2\sqrt{2}}\right) \right], \quad (33a)$$

and $\operatorname{Erfc}(x)$ and $\operatorname{Erf}(x)$ are the complementary error function and error function, respectively. These functions along with $\psi(y,0)$ can be evaluated by using the exceedingly efficient rational approximation as suggested by Hastings.¹⁰

It is interesting to note that Eq. (31a) serves dual purposes. First of all, it implies

$$Q_k(0) = 1 \quad (33b)$$

according to Eq. (33). Secondly, the magnitude of the next higher-order term at $\xi = 0$ assumes a minimum value as readily seen by differentiating with respect to ρ . Since $\omega(\xi)$ defined in Eq. (16) is a rapidly decreasing function of ξ and varies approximately exponentially

$$\omega(\xi) \sim \frac{\langle D \rangle}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left[-\frac{\langle D \rangle}{\pi} |\xi|\right], \quad (33c)$$

the choice of ρ in Eq. (31a) amounts to minimizing the error involved by ignoring the next higher-order term and maximizing the range of validity of Eq. (32) in evaluating L_1 . It was found by numerical experimentation that the validity of Eq. (32) when used in evaluating L_1 can be extended down to

$$Q(t) = 1 + (t - 1)Q'(1) + \dots \quad (129)$$

$$Q = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\exp\left(\frac{t-1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) + \frac{t-1}{\sqrt{2}} \exp\left(\frac{t-1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) + \frac{(t-1)^2}{2} \exp\left(\frac{t-1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) + \dots \right] \quad (130)$$

and Ertok and Ertok are the corresponding error function and error

function, respectively. Their functions along with $Q(t)$ and Q'

evaluated by using the previously obtained numerical approximation as

suggested by Hestenes.

It is interesting to note that Eq. (131) never does produce. Then

of all, it makes

$$Q'(t) = 1 \quad (132)$$

according to Eq. (131). Secondly, the derivative of the next higher-order

term at $t = 1$ makes a certain value as results seen by differentiating

with respect to t . Since all defined in Eq. (131) is a rapidly conver-

ing function of t and values exponentially exponentially

$$Q(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\exp\left(\frac{t-1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) + \frac{(t-1)}{\sqrt{2}} \exp\left(\frac{t-1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) + \frac{(t-1)^2}{2} \exp\left(\frac{t-1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) + \dots \right] \quad (133)$$

the choice of t is Eq. (133) accounts to minimize the error involved by

ignoring the next higher-order term and maintaining the same of validity

of Eq. (32) in evaluation Q . It was found by numerical experimentation

that the validity of Eq. (133) does not in evaluating Q can be extended

down to

down to

$$\frac{\beta_k + \psi(\theta_k, 0)}{\psi(\theta_k, 0)} \geq 2.5 .$$

Approximately 75% of the s-wave and practically all of the p-wave unresolved resonances of the fissile isotopes belong to the asymptotic region. Since Eq. (32) avoids the use of the matrix inversion routine, it represents a significant saving in computing time especially when the fissile isotopes are considered. For fertile isotopes, the asymptotic region for s-wave resonances is much smaller than that for fissile isotopes even though practically all p-wave resonances still belong to this region. It should be noted that, in the absence of the fission-width distribution, the computing time is generally negligible even if the matrix inversion method is used for all mesh points.

2. Non-asymptotic Region

Outside the asymptotic region, accurate calculations can be made by using the matrix inversion technique. Since all quantities inside the summation sign of Eq. (23) are symmetric with respect to $\eta_i = 0$, only $N/2$ terms are needed. However, the kernel that appears in Eq. (18) is not symmetric with respect to the variable of integration. Hence, it is still necessary to invert a $N \times N$ matrix even though only half of the vectors $P_k(\eta_i)$ are needed in Eq. (23). The inversion of a matrix with relatively large dimension generally requires an excessive amount of computing time. One way of minimizing the computing time required is to reduce the size of the matrix analytically beforehand. This can be done quite readily by partitioning the matrix A into four submatrices.

Assume the inverse $A^{-1} = E$. It is clear that

$$\frac{0.5 + 0.5 \cdot 0.5}{0.5 \cdot 0.5}$$

Approximately 10% of the cases are practically all of the same
 involved operations of the finite topology belong to the discrete
 region. Since \mathbb{R}^n (11) contains the whole of the matrix topology, it
 represents a significant subset in computing time especially when the
 finite topology are considered. For finite topology, the algorithm
 region for a given operation is much smaller than that for finite top-
 ology even though practically all cases are covered with delay in this
 region. It should be noted that in the elements of the finite-topo-
 distribution, the computing time is generally negligible even in the
 matrix inversion method is used for all cases.

5. Non-symmetric region

Outside the symmetric region, matrix calculations could not be
 using the matrix inversion technique. Since all operations belong to
 symmetric case of \mathbb{R}^n (11) are symmetric with respect to \mathbb{R}^n , only
 \mathbb{R}^n cases are needed. However, the kernel that appears in \mathbb{R}^n is
 not symmetric with respect to the values of integration. Hence, it is
 still necessary to invert a $N \times N$ matrix even though only half of the non-
 zero $\mathbb{R}^n(a_i)$ are needed in \mathbb{R}^n . The inverted or a matrix with rela-
 tively large elements generally requires an expensive amount of comput-
 ing time. One way of reducing the computing time required is to reduce
 the size of the matrix as much as possible. This can be done quite
 readily by partitioning the matrix A into four submatrices.
 Assume the inverse $A^{-1} = E$. It is clear that

$$AA^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11}A_{12} \\ A_{21}A_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E_{11}E_{12} \\ E_{21}E_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{I} , \quad (34)$$

where \mathbf{I} is the identity matrix. Since the Gauss-Hermite weights and mesh points can be arranged in such a way that

$$A_{11} = A_{22} \quad (35)$$

$$A_{21} = A_{12} \quad (36)$$

it follows from Eq. (34) that

$$E_{11} = \left(A_{11} - A_{12}A_{11}^{-1}A_{12} \right)^{-1} \quad (37)$$

$$E_{12} = -A_{11}^{-1}A_{12}E_{22} \quad (38)$$

$$E_{22} = E_{11} \quad (39)$$

$$E_{21} = E_{12} . \quad (40)$$

Hence, in the actual numerical calculation, only two $N/2 \times N/2$ matrix inversions are necessary instead of a $N \times N$ matrix. Since the computing time required for the matrix inversion approximately varies as the cube of the dimension N , a considerable saving in computing time may be achieved if the computing time for the additional matrix algebra in Eqs. (37) and (38) can be minimized. Once E_{11} and E_{12} are known, the vector \mathbf{P} is simply

(36)

$$I = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_{11} & \delta_{12} \\ \delta_{21} & \delta_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \delta_{11} & \delta_{12} \\ \delta_{21} & \delta_{22} \end{pmatrix} = I$$

where I is the identity matrix. Since the Gauss-Jordan weights and norms can be merged in such a way that

(37)

$$\delta_{11} = \delta_{12}$$

(38)

$$\delta_{21} = \delta_{22}$$

it follows from (36) that

(39)

$$\delta_{12} = \delta_{11} - \delta_{12} \delta_{11}^{-1} \delta_{12}$$

(40)

$$\delta_{22} = \delta_{21} - \delta_{21} \delta_{11}^{-1} \delta_{12}$$

(41)

$$\delta_{11} = \delta_{11}$$

(42)

$$\delta_{21} = \delta_{21}$$

Since, in the actual numerical calculation, only two $N \times N$ 2×2 matrix inversions are necessary instead of a $N \times N$ matrix. Since the mapping from the original to the matrix inversion is approximately linear in the size of the matrix N , a considerable saving in computing time may be achieved if the mapping from the original matrix inversion to the matrix inversion can be established. Since δ_{11} and δ_{21} are known, the matrix δ is unique.

$$\mathbf{P} = (E_{11}E_{12}) \begin{pmatrix} B_1 \\ B_2 \\ \vdots \\ B_N \end{pmatrix}. \quad (41)$$

Further economization may be made on physical grounds. In the low-energy region where the average spacing becomes much larger than the Doppler width and the average natural width, $\omega(\xi)$ in Eq. (17) approaches a Dirac delta function and L_1 becomes

$$L_1 \approx \frac{1}{\langle D \rangle^2} \left\langle \Gamma_{xk} J \right\rangle_k \left\langle \frac{\Gamma_k}{2} J \right\rangle_k. \quad (41a)$$

The quantity K_1 vanishes. Similarly, the higher-order terms will also vanish. Hence, it is possible to set $K_n = 0$ whenever $\langle D \rangle / \Delta$ and $\langle D \rangle / \langle \Gamma \rangle$ become larger than certain values. The proposed method was found to be sufficiently fast that the latter condition for the limiting case is not needed.

V. ESTIMATION OF L_2

The second-order term L_2 can be estimated using the same technique discussed previously. Equation (11) can be written as

(41)

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{a}_1 \\ \hat{a}_2 \\ \hat{a}_3 \\ \hat{a}_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{a}_{11} \\ \hat{a}_{12} \\ \hat{a}_{13} \\ \hat{a}_{14} \end{pmatrix}$$

Further simplification may be made on physical grounds. In the low energy region where the average spacing becomes much larger than the logarithmic width and the average natural width, (41) in Eq. (13) approaches a linear form function and \hat{a}_i becomes

(42)

$$\hat{a}_i = \frac{1}{\langle \hat{a}_i \rangle} \left\langle \frac{1}{\hat{a}_i} \right\rangle \left\langle \frac{1}{\hat{a}_i} \right\rangle$$

The quantity \hat{a}_i is a variable. Similarly, the higher-order terms will also be variables. Hence, it is possible to set $\hat{a}_i = 0$ whenever $\langle \hat{a}_i \rangle \neq 0$. It is known that the proposed method can be used to be sufficiently fast that the latter condition for the limiting case is not needed.

V. ESTIMATION OF \hat{a}_i

The second-order term \hat{a}_2 can be estimated using the same technique discussed previously. Equation (13) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
L_2 = & -\frac{1}{2\langle D \rangle^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sqrt{2\pi} \omega(\xi) \left\langle \Gamma_{xk} \left\{ \sqrt{\pi/2} \frac{\exp[-(\Delta^2 \xi^2/4) - (\Gamma_k |\xi|/2)]}{\beta_k} - P_k \left(\frac{\Gamma_k}{2} \xi \right) \right\} \right\rangle_k \\
& \cdot \left\langle \frac{\Gamma_{k'}}{2} \sigma_p^{(eq)} \frac{d}{d\sigma_p^{(eq)}} P_k \left(\frac{\Gamma_k}{2} \xi \right) \right\rangle_{k'} d\xi .
\end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

It is interesting to note that the only unknown in Eq. (42) is the quantity

$$\frac{d}{d\sigma_p^{(eq)}} P_k \left(\frac{\Gamma_k}{2} \xi \right) .$$

The latter quantity can be related to $P_k(\Gamma_k \xi/2)$. Let

$$R = -\sigma_p^{(eq)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_p^{(eq)}} P \left(\frac{\Gamma_k}{2} \xi \right) . \quad (43)$$

Differentiating Eq. (18) with respect to $\sigma_p^{(eq)}$ and replacing the integral by the Gauss-Hermite quadrature, one obtains a matrix equation of the form

$$AR = V/N_0 , \quad (44)$$

and

$$V_i = P_i \cdot \exp \left[\frac{\Delta^2 \eta_i}{4\alpha^2} \right] \cdot \beta_k , \quad (44a)$$

where P_i is simply the solution of Eq. (26), and N_0 is the normalization factor defined by Eq. (28). Hence,

It is interesting to note that the only known in Eq. (42) is the quantity

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \right) \right)$$

The latter quantity can be related to $\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \right)$. Let

$$(43) \quad \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \right)$$

Differentiating Eq. (13) with respect to $\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \right)$ and replacing the time

and by the same Hamiltonian derivatives, we obtain a series expansion of the

$$(44) \quad \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \right)$$

$$(45) \quad \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \right)$$

where $\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \right)$ is simply the solution of Eq. (13), and $\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \right)$ is the same solution defined by Eq. (13). Hence,

$$R = A^{-1}V/N_0, \quad (45)$$

where A^{-1} has already been obtained in evaluating L_1 . Thus, the second-order term can be obtained readily once the first-order term is known.

For the cases where the asymptotic formula is applicable, R is simply

$$R_k \left(\frac{\Gamma_k}{2} \xi \right) = \sqrt{\pi/2} \exp \left[-\frac{\Delta^2}{4} \xi^2 - \frac{\Gamma_k}{2} |\xi| \right] \left\{ \frac{1 + 2[\rho - U_k(\xi)] / (\beta_k + \rho)}{(\beta_k + \rho)^2} \right\} \cdot \beta_k, \quad (46)$$

where P_k and U are defined in Eq. (32) and Eq. (33a).

The same technique, in principle, can be extended to even higher-order terms. However, the evaluation of higher-order terms beyond the second order is believed to be unnecessary on physical grounds. For cases in the low-energy region where the self-shielding effect is large, the average spacing is generally much larger than the corresponding Doppler width and the natural width for all problems of practical interest. Under such conditions, all K_n terms vanish as $\omega(\xi)$ approaches the Dirac δ -function.

VI. CHOPSUEY CODE

In order to test and evaluate the merits of the proposed method, the CHOPSUEY code has been developed. The code uses the input and output routines of the RP-270 code written by Rago¹¹ with modifications to allow for both s- and p-wave resonances. Ten quadrature points were used for

both the neutron width and the fission width distributions. The fast J-integral routine developed recently⁶ was incorporated. The statistical averaging and the calculation of the overlap terms are performed in the subroutine UNGRES and UNRES for the fissile and fertile isotopes, respectively. The effective cross sections for both s- and p-wave contributions are given separately in the output. In these subroutines, the average quantities $\langle \Gamma_x J \rangle$, $\langle \Gamma J \rangle$, $\langle \Gamma_x \int_0^\infty [\psi/(\beta + \psi)]^2 dx \rangle$ and $\langle \Gamma \int_0^\infty [\psi/(\beta + \psi)]^2 dx \rangle$ are calculated first. In the calculation of $P_k(\Gamma_k \xi_i/2)$, the code examines whether the k-th mesh point belongs to the asymptotic region or not. For the points that do not belong to the asymptotic region, a matrix inversion routine is called. The matrix inversion routine was written by Garbow¹² using the Jordan method. A FORTRAN listing of the relevant subroutines are listed in the Appendix.

One of the great concerns is whether the proposed method is efficient enough to be economical in routine applications. Test calculations have been made for problems with ^{239}Pu and ^{238}U using ENDF/B parameters. For fertile isotopes, computing time is generally negligible. The most severe test is believed to be the case when ^{239}Pu is in high concentration. In the calculation of ^{239}Pu cross sections, not only the averaging over the fission width distribution function is required, but also there are as many as 30 energy points for all five spin sequences. Two cases at room temperature were considered in the test calculations.

Case 1

$$\underline{^{239}\text{Pu}}: \sigma_p^{(\text{eq})} = 71.6/\text{atm}$$

$$\underline{^{238}\text{U}}: \sigma_p^{(\text{eq})} = 40 \text{ b/atm}$$

both the neutron width and the fission width distributions. The 3-integral routine developed recently⁶ was incorporated. The statistical averaging and the calculation of the overlap terms are performed in the subroutines WREZ and WRES for the fission and fission overlap, respectively. The effective cross sections for both s- and p-wave contributions are given separately in the output. In these subroutines, the average quantities $\langle \sigma_s \rangle$, $\langle \sigma_p \rangle$, $\langle \sigma_f \rangle$, $\langle \sigma_{sf} \rangle$, $\langle \sigma_{sp} \rangle$, and $\langle \sigma_{fp} \rangle$ are calculated from the expression

$$\langle \sigma \rangle = \int_0^{\infty} \sigma(E) P(E) dE / \int_0^{\infty} P(E) dE$$

where $P(E)$ is the probability density function of the s- or p-wave point energy in the asymptotic region or not. For the points that do not belong to the asymptotic region, a search inversion routine is called. The search inversion routine was written by Gertzel⁷ using the Golden section. A FORTRAN listing of the relevant subroutines can be found in the Appendix.

One of the great concerns is whether the proposed method is sufficiently accurate to be economical in routine applications. Test calculations have been made for problems with ^{235}Pu and ^{239}Pu using WREZ's parameters. For fission isotopes, computing time is generally negligible. The most severe test is believed to be the case with ^{235}Pu in its high concentration. In the calculation of ^{235}Pu cross sections, not only the averaging over the fission width distribution routine is required, but also there are as many as 30 energy points for all five cross sections. Test cases at room temperature were conducted in the test calculations.

Case 1

$$\frac{\sigma_{sf}}{\sigma_{sp}} = 0.71 \text{ (WREZ)}$$

$$\frac{\sigma_{sf}}{\sigma_{sp}} = 0.71 \text{ (WRES)}$$

Case 2

$$\underline{^{239}\text{Pu}}: \sigma_p^{(\text{eq})} = 300 \text{ b/atm}$$

$$\underline{^{238}\text{U}}: \sigma_p^{(\text{eq})} = 30 \text{ b/atm}$$

It should be noted that the calculations require much less time at higher temperatures as more entries to the asymptotic region become evident. Table I summarizes the results of the computer time required for the proposed method as compared to the time required for the old MC² routine¹³ (Subroutine QFJ) without the overlap effect. The time estimates given in Table I include the input-output time as required by the CHOPSUEY code but exclude the compilation time and wait time.

From Table I, it is clear that the proposed method is much superior to the old subroutine not only on the theoretical ground but also in terms of the computing time. The significant improvement in the algorithm for evaluating the generalized J-integral discussed previously⁶ is more than enough to compensate for the computing time required for the more rigorous treatment of the overlap effect.

Case 2

$$\frac{1}{\rho} \left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \right) = 30 \text{ days}$$

$$\frac{1}{\rho} \left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \right) = 30 \text{ days}$$

It should be noted that the calculations require much less time for higher frequencies as one enters to the asymptotic region because the time required for the calculations is proportional to the square of the frequency. Table I summarizes the results of the calculations for the proposed method as compared to the time required for the old method. (Approximate 50%) without the explicit effect. It is found that the results given in Table I include the input-output time as required by the computer code but exclude the compilation time and wait time.

From Table I, it is clear that the proposed method is much superior to the old technique not only in the theoretical ground but also in the actual of the computing time. The significant improvement in the time for evaluating the generalized 4-integral diagram previously is more than enough to compensate for the computing time required for the new rigorous treatment of the overlap effect.

TABLE I
Timing of CHOPSUEY

	sec
MC ² (QFJ) (no overlap)	120
Proposed algorithms (overlap included)	
Case I	47
Case II	28

TABLE I
Timing of Coverage

sec	
120	MC ₂ (10) (no over/sep)
	Proposed algorithm (over/sep included)
100	Case I
20	Case II

REFERENCES

1. R. N. HWANG, *Nucl. Sci. Eng.*, 21, 523 (1965).
2. R. N. HWANG, *Proc. Conf. Breeding, Economy, and Safety in Large Fast Reactors*, Argonne National Laboratory, ANL-6792 (1963), pp. 711-726.
3. R. N. HWANG and K. OTT, "Comparison and Analysis of Theoretical Doppler-Coefficient Results of Fast Reactors," Argonne National Laboratory, ANL-7269 (1966).
4. F. J. DYSON, *J. Math. Phys.*, 3, 1966 (1962).
5. R. N. HWANG, *Nucl. Sci. Eng.*, 36, 82 (1969).
6. R. N. HWANG, "An Efficient Method for Evaluating the $J(\beta, \theta, a, b)$ Integral," Argonne National Laboratory, FRA-TM-10 (1971).
7. I. N. SNEDDON, *Fourier Transforms*, McGraw-Hill Inc., New York (1951).
8. R. N. HWANG, *Nucl. Sci. Eng.*, 36, 67-81 (1969).
9. P. A. MOLDAUER, *Phys. Rev.*, 135, No. 3B, 642 (1964).
10. C. HASTINGS, JR., *Approximations for Digital Computers*, Princeton University Press (1955).
11. A. L. RAGO, Argonne National Laboratory, Private Communication (1965).
12. B. S. GARROW, ANL-F402, MATINV (1965).
13. B. J. TOPPEL, A. L. RAGO and D. M. O'SHEA, "MC², A Code to Calculate Multigroup Cross Sections," ANL-7318 (1967).

SUBROUTINE UNGRES

IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)

REAL*4 T1,T2,T3,T4,TTO,TTJ,DD

A-1

COMMON /QFJ1/ZETA,TOT2,BETA,PSIZ,PSIZ2,PS3

COMMON/TRTI/TR(62,62),TI(62,62),AIMW,AX,REW,WHY,KI

COMMON/H/TEST1,TEST2,HH

COMMON/SEC/TOT3,TOT4

COMMON/F/AKI,DEN,RAT,C,AAA

COMMON/R/ EERFC,ARG

COMMON/PP/ LL,LP,MU,NCS,IGEDM,MU,NOVLP

COMMON/DP/ X, E,GNNZ,G,T,UNR,AZERO,D,C4,ABAR,SIGPO,DZERO,

1 TITLE, C3,CC,Y,UNRGM,UNRG,C1,C2,RAD,FLUXCT,CAP,FISS,SIGP

DIMENSION X(10), E(100),UNR(100),TITLE(12),Y(10),UNRGM(100),

1 UNRG(100)

DDP 8

DIMENSION XXX(10),GN(10),GF(10)

DIMENSION A(10,10),B(10,100),E1(20),E2(20),AVGGMT(20),AVGFMT(20),

AA/GTMT(20),DUMMY(10,100),SI(20),E3(20),E4(20)

DIMENSION FLUXCT(100),CAP(100),FISS(100)

DDP 9

DIMENSION YY(10),WW(10)

DDP 11

DIMENSION GGF(100),GGM(100),TZETA(100),PPSIZ(100),H(100),GGFF(100)

X,GGMM(100),BB(100),BBB(100)

DIMENSION DUM1(5,10),DUM2(5,5),DUM3(5,5),DUM4(5,5),DUM6(5,5),

ABP(10,1),VECT(10,1),DUM5(5,5),S(5,5)

DIMENSION VECT2(10),DUMMY2(10,100),ANDRM(10),BING(10),BINF(10),

XBINT(10),AVT1(10)

DIMENSION TTZETA(100)

DATA YY/3.436159118,2.532731674,1.756683649,1.036610829,

X,3429013272,-3.436159118,-2.532731674,-1.756683649,-1.036610829,

X,-3429013272/

DATA WW/.7640432855D-5,.1343645746D-2,.3387439445D-1,.2401386110,

X,6108626337,.7640432855D-5,.1343645746D-2,.3387439445D-1,.24013861

X1,.6108626337/

DATA E3/.1342138201D6,.6107756653D3,.2188797595D2,.2928709876D1,

X,1124773092D1/

DO 2 1=1,NCS

DDP 32

READ 100,SIGM1,SIGM2,GMGM,GMF

100 FORMAT(5E12.5)

DDP 34

SIGP=C4+SIGM1+SIGM2

DDP 35

GFRARJ=0.

DDP 36

BARJ=0.

DDP 37

TBARJ=0.0

DDP 38

TBARJ2=0.

SEG=0.

SEF=0.

SET=0.

EG=0.

FF=0.

DDP 76

FI=0.

DDP 77

FG2=0.

FF2=0.

FI2=0.

SRE=DSQRT(E(I))

DELTA=C3/SRE/2.

DDP 40

II=0

JJ=0

AVIND=0.

AVINDF=0.

AVINDT=0.

AVQ=0.

T1=TLEFT(DD)

DU 1 J=1,10	DOP	41
IF(LP.NE.0)GO TO 944	DOP	42
GN(J)=GMNZ *SRE*X(J)		
GU TO 911	DOP	44
944 AAA=RAD*SRE/4.56D+2		
TMU=MU	DOP	46
V=TMU*AAA**2/(1.+AAA**2)	DOP	47
IF(MU.GT.1)GU TO 999	DOP	48
GN(J)=GMNZ *SRE*X(J)*V		
GU TO 911	DOP	50
999 XXX(1)=5.175533E-2	DOP	51
XXX(2)=1.630645E-1	DOP	52
XXX(3)=2.884221E-1	DOP	53
XXX(4)=4.317743E-1	DOP	54
XXX(5)=5.992178E-1	DOP	55
XXX(6)=8.00573E-1	DOP	56
XXX(7)=1.053244	DOP	57
XXX(8)=1.393041	DOP	58
XXX(9)=1.916293	DOP	59
XXX(10)=3.302585	DOP	60
GN(J)=GMNZ *SRE*XXX(J)*V		
911 DU 1 K=1,10		
GF(K)=GMF*Y(K)	DOP	63
GAM=GN(J)+GF(K)+G4GM	DOP	64
ZETA=GAM*DELTA		
SIGZ=2.6E6*G*GN(J)/ E(1)/GAM		
BETA=SIGP/SIGZ	DOP	67
AVIND=AVIND+1./BETA		
AVINDF=AVINDF+GF(K)/BETA		
AVINDT=AVINDT+GAM/BETA		
AAQ=GAM*DSQRT((BETA+1.)/BETA)		
AVQ=AVQ+AAQ		
CALL FH		
FJF=TOT2		
FJF2=TOT3		
IF(NDVLP.NE.0.)GO TO 6		
TBARJ2=TBARJ2+FJF2*GAM		
TEST1=(BETA+PSIZ)/PSIZ		
IF(TEST1.GE.2.5)GO TO 5		
II=II+1		
RRB(II)=BETA		
GFFF(II)=GF(K)		
GGMM(II)=GAM		
TZETA(II)=ZETA		
GU TO 6		
5 JJ=JJ+1		
BB(JJ)=BETA		
GGF(JJ)=GF(K)		
GGM(JJ)=GAM		
TZETA(JJ)=ZETA		
IF(TEST1.GE.4.5)GU TO 29		
ARG=.707106781*ZETA		
CALL RATNL		
PPSIZ(JJ)=.6266570686*ZETA*EERFC		
PSIZ2=PPSIZ(JJ)		
29 RPP=BETA+PSIZ2		
PPSIZ(JJ)=PSIZ2		
H(JJ)=BPP		
6 TBARJ=TBARJ+FJF*GAM		
BARJ=BARJ+FJF	DOP	73


```

1  GFBARJ=GFBARJ+FNJ*GF(K)
   BARJ=.01*BARJ*GMGM/D
   GFBARJ=.01*GFBARJ/D
   TBARJ=.01*TBARJ/D
   IF(NOVLP.NE.0.)GO TO 709
   AVQ=.01*AVQ
   AVIND=.01570796327*AVIND*GMGM/D
   PRINT 730,AVIND,AVQ
730 FORMAT(7H AVIND=D12.5,5H AVQ=D12.5)
   AVGABB=AVQ
   PRINT 51,AVGABB
51  FORMAT(8H AVGABB=D12.5)
   PRINT 8,II,JJ
8   FORMAT(4H I1=I6,4H J1=J6)
   T2=LEFT(DD)
   T1J=(T1-T2)*.01
   T3=LEFT(DD)
   BARING=AVIND
   BARINF=.01570796327*AVINDF/D
   BARINI=.01570796327*AVINDI/D
   TBARJ2=.01*TBARJ2/D
   Q2=TBARJ2
   SEG=(BARING-BARJ)*Q2
   SEF=(BARINF-GFBARJ)*Q2
   SET=(BARINT-TBARJ)*Q2
   PRINT 9151,SEG,SEF,SET,Q2
9151 FORMAT(6E12.5)
   ALP=DSQRT(.5/DELTTN**2+(0*.318309)**2+AVGABB**2)
   DO 36 N=1,5
   SI(N)=DABS(YY(N)/ALP)
   ARG1=SI(N)
   E4(N)=DEXP(.5*(.5*ARG1/DELTTN)**2)
   E2(N)=E4(N)*E4(N)
   E1(N)=E3(N)/(E2(N)*E2(N))
   E1(N+5)=E1(N)
   E2(N+5)=E2(N)
   E3(N+5)=E3(N)
36  CONTINUE
   IF(I1.EQ.0)GO TO 11
   DO 33 J=1,11
   DO 11 N=1,5
   ARG1=SI(N)
   B(N,J)=1.2533156*DEXP(-.5*GGMM(J)*ARG1)
   ANORM(N)=E2(N)*(BBB(J)+WW(N)*E3(N)*.25*GGMM(J)/ALP)
   BP(N,1)=B(N,J)/ANORM(N)
   BP(N+5,1)=BP(N,1)
   ANORM(N+5)=ANORM(N)
   DO 11 K=1,10
   IF(N.EQ.K)GO TO 110
   A(N,K)=.25*WW(K)*E1(K)*DEXP(.5*(1./DELTTN/ALP)**2*YY(N)*YY(K)-
XDABS(YY(N)-YY(K))*5*GGMM(J)/ALP)/ALP/ANORM(N)*E2(K)*GGMM(J)
   GO TO 111
110 A(N,K)=1.
111 IF(K.GT.5)GO TO 112
   S(N,K)=A(N,K)
   DUM5(N,K)=A(N,K)
   GO TO 11
112 DUM1(N,K-5)=A(N,K)
11  CONTINUE
   CALL MATRIX(S,DETERM,5)

```



```

DO 72 M=1,5
DO 93 L=1,5
DUM3(M,L)=0.
DO 92 N=1,5
DUM2(N,L)=0.
DO 91 K=1,5
91 DUM2(N,L)=DUM2(N,L)+S(N,K)*DUM1(K,L)
92 DUM3(M,L)=DUM3(M,L)+DUM1(M,N)*DUM2(N,L)
DUM4(M,L)=DUM5(N,L)-DUM3(M,L)
93 CONTINUE
CALL MATRIX(DUM4,DETERM,5)
DO 73 M=1,5
VECT(M,1)=0.
DO 75 N=1,10
IF(N.GT.5)GO TO 74
VECT(M,1)=VECT(M,1)+DUM4(M,N)*BP(N,1)
DUM6(M,N)=0.
DO 71 K=1,5
71 DUM6(M,N)=DUM6(M,N)+DUM2(M,K)*DUM4(K,N)
DUM1(M,N+5)=-DUM6(N,N)
GO TO 75
74 VECT(M,1)=VECT(M,1)+DUM1(M,N)*BP(N,1)
75 CONTINUE
DUMMY(M,J)=VECT(M,1)
73 CONTINUE
DO 76 M=1,5
VECT2(M)=0.
DO 77 N=1,10
IF(N.GT.5)GO TO 73
VECT2(M)=VECT2(M)+DUM4(M,N)*VECT(N,1)*E2(N)*BBB(J)/ANORM(N)
GO TO 77
78 VECT2(M)=VECT2(M)+DUM1(M,N)*VECT(N-5,1)*E2(N)*BBB(J)/ANORM(N)
77 CONTINUE
DUMMY2(N,J)=VECT2(M)
76 CONTINUE
33 CONTINUE
DO 34 N=1,5
AVGGMT(N)=0.
AVGFMT(N)=0.
AVGTMT(N)=0.
AVT1(N)=0.
RING(N)=0.
BINF(N)=0.
BINT(N)=0.
DO 34 J=1,11
AVGGMT(N)=AVGGMT(N)+DUMMY(N,J)*E2(N)
AVGFMT(N)=AVGFMT(N)+DUMMY(N,J)*E2(N)*GGFF(J)
AVGTMT(N)=AVGTMT(N)+DUMMY(N,J)*E2(N)*GGMM(J)
RING(N)=RING(N)+B(N,J)/BBB(J)
BINF(N)=BINF(N)+B(N,J)*GGFF(J)/BBB(J)
BINT(N)=BINT(N)+B(N,J)*GGMM(J)/BBB(J)
AVT1(N)=AVT1(N)+DUMMY2(N,J)*E2(N)*GGMM(J)
34 CONTINUE
7 DO 3333 M=1,5
ATGG=0.
AVGF=0.
AVGT=0.
AVTQ2=0.
AVGQ2=0.
AVFQ2=0.

```



```

IF(JJ.EQ.0)GO TO 9
ARG1=SI(M)
QQ=D*ARG1/3.1416
DUM=.5*ARG1/DELTA(1)/1.4142
ARG=DUM
CALL RATNL
A1=E4(M)-EERFC
Q=1.
DO 333 J=1,JJ
TEST6= H(J)/PPSIZ(J)
IF(TEST6.GE.25.)GO TO 223
ARG=DUM+TZE1A(J)/1.4142
CALL RATNL
A2=EERFC
U=.626658*TZETA(J)*(A1+A2)
Q=1.+(PPSIZ(J)-U)/H(J)
Q2=(H(J)/3B(J))-Q
Q3=(Q-.5)*2.*BB(J)/H(J)
223 EE1=DEXP(-.5*GGM(J)*ARG1)/H(J)
AVGG=AVGG+EE1*Q
AVGF=AVGF+GGF(J)*Q*EE1
AVGT=AVGT+GGM(J)*Q*EE1
IF(TEST6.GE.25.)GO TO 333
AVGQ2=AVGQ2+Q2*EE1
AVFQ2=AVFQ2+Q2*EE1*GGF(J)
AVTQ2=AVTQ2+Q2*EE1*GGM(J)
AVTQ3=AVTQ3+Q3*EE1*GGM(J)
333 CONTINUE
IF(II.GT.0)GO TO 9
AVGGMT(M)=0.
AVGFMT(M)=0.
AVGTMT(M)=0.
BING(M)=0.
BINF(M)=0.
BINT(M)=0.
AVT1(M)=0.
9 DVLG=.01*(AVGG+AVGGMT(M)*.7978836)*GMGM
DVLG2=.01*(AVGF+AVGFMT(M)*.7978836)
DVLG3=.01*(AVGT+AVGTMT(M)*.7978836)
DVLG2=.01*(AVGQ2+.7978836*(BING(M)-AVGGMT(M)))*GMGM
DVLG2=.01*(AVFQ2+.7978836*(BINF(M)-AVGFMT(M)))
DVLG2=.01*(AVTQ2+.7978836*(BINT(M)-AVGTMT(M)))
DVLG3=.01*(AVTQ3+.7978836*AVT1(M))
894 IF(QQ.GT.2.)GO TO 498
FDYSON=(1.-QQ+.5*QQ*DLOG(1.+QQ))
GO TO 933
498 FDYSON=.5*QQ*DLOG((1.+QQ)/(QQ-1.))-1.
933 DUM=WW(M)*DVLG*FDYSON*E1(M)*2./D
FG=FG+DVLG*DUM
FF=FF+DVLG*DUM
FI=FI+DVLG*DUM
DUM=WW(M)*DVLG3*FDYSON*E1(M)*2./D
FG2=FG2+DUM*DVLG2
FF2=FF2+DUM*DVLG2
FI2=FI2+DUM*DVLG2
3333 CONTINUE
OVERLG=(FG-FG2)*.3927/ALP
OVERLF=(FF-FF2)*.3927/ALP
OVERLT=(FI-FI2)*.3927/ALP

```



```

DVG=BARJ*TBARJ-SEG-OVERLG
OVF=GFBARJ*TBARJ-SEF-OVERLF
OVT=TBARJ*TBARJ-SET-OVERLT
PRINT 9151,FG,FG2,OVERLG,FF,FF2,OVERLF
IF(OVG.GI.O.)GO TO 14
709 DVG=0.
    OVF=0.
    OVT=0.
14 PRINT 701,OVG,OVF,OVT
701 FORMAT(5H DVG=D12.5,5H OVF=D12.5,5H OVT=D12.5)
PRINT 702,BARJ,GFBARJ,TBARJ
702 FORMAT(6H BARJ=E12.5,8H GFBARJ=E12.5,7H TBARJ=E12.5)
UNRGM(1)=UNRGM(1)+SIGP*BARJ
T4=TLEFT(DD)
TID=(T3-14)*.01
PRINT 13,TTJ,ITO
13 FORMAT(5H TTJ=E12.5,5H ITO=E12.5)
UNRG(1)=UNRG(1)+SIGP*GFBARJ
FLUXCT(1)=1.-(TBARJ-OVT)
CAP(1)=CAP(1)+SIGP*(BARJ-OVG)/FLUXCT(1)
FISS(1)=FISS(1)+SIGP*(GFBARJ-OVF)/FLUXCT(1)
2 CONTINUE
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE FH
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
COMMON/TRTI/IR(62,62),TI(62,62),AIMW,AX,REW,WHY,KI
COMMON/H/TEST1,TEST2,HH
COMMON /QFJ1/ZETA,TOT2,BETA,PSIZ,PSIZ2,PS3
COMMON/R/ EERFC,ARG
COMMON/F/AKI,DEN,RAT,C,AAA
COMMON/SEC/TOT3,TOT4
DIMENSION ZLP(8)
DIMENSION RAT(10),AKI(10),DEN(10)
DIMENSION ALP(5)
DIMENSION AN(10),RM(10)
DATA AN/.1666667,.3333333D-1,.7142857D-2,.1587302D-2,
X.3607504D-3,.8325008D-4/
DATA BM/.1666667,.5555555D-1,.1234568D-1,.2057613D-2,
X.2743484D-3,.3048316D-4/
DATA ALP/.984807530,.8660254038,.6427876097,.3420201433/
DATA ZLP/.2393156642,.4647231720,.6631226582,.8229338658,
X.9350162426,.9927088740/
KI=1
WHY=.5*ZETA
ARG=WHY
CALL RAINF
PSIZ=.8862269*ZETA*EERFC
H=BETA+PSIZ
TEST1=H/PSIZ
AINTZ=.5/TEST1
ASQ=AAA**2
IF(TEST1.GE.15.)GO TO 100
IF(ZETA.GT.2.5)GO TO 20
IF(TEST1.GE.4.5)GO TO 100
IF(BETA.GE..018)GO TO 2
IF(ZETA.GE..5)GO TO 20
BOVPSI=BETA/PSIZ
IF(BOVPSI.GE..2)GO TO 2
RR=4.*(1.8971+DLOG(1.+./532929*ZETA/BETA))/ZETA**2

```

DDP
DDP
DDP

DDP

DDP
DDP


```

TEST2=BB*BETA
IF (TEST2.GT..03)GO TO 4
GO TO 20
2 C=5./ZETA
GO TO 3
4 IF (TEST2.LT.1.0)GO TO 11
FAC=1+.018*(BETA-.00128)/(BETA+.00128)+.08*BDVPSI
C=.8292*DSQR1 ( FB)*FAC
GO TO 3
11 C=.7071*DSQR1(BB+(1.+BETA)/BETA)
3 TOT2=0.
TOT3=0.
TOT4=0.
DO 1 I=1,6
AX=WHY*ZLP(1)*C/DSQR1(1.-ZLP(1)**2)
CALL QUICKW
PSI=.8862269*ZETA*REW
AKI(I)=.8862269*ZETA*AIMW
DEN(I)=BETA+PSI
RAT(1)=PSI/DEN(1)/(1.-ZLP(1)**2)
TOT3=TOT3+RAT(1)*BETA/DEN(1)
AKISQ=AKI(I)**2*ASQ
TOT4=TOT4+(AKISQ/(DEN(1)**2-AKISQ))*RAT(1)
1 TOT2=TOT2+RAT(1)
TOT2=TOT2+AINYZ
TOT2=TOT2*C*.2416609733
TOT3=TOT3+AINYZ*(1.-1./TEST1)
TOT3=TOT3*C*.2416609733
TOT4=TOT4*C*.2416609733
RETURN
100 ARG=.707106781*ZETA
CALL RATNL
PSI22=.6266570686*ZETA*EERFC
HH=BETA+PSI22
TOT2=1.57079632681/HH
TOT3=TOT2*BETA/HH
Z=ZETA*ZETA
IF (ZETA.GT.2.5)GO TO 23
ARG=.40824829*ZETA
CALL RATNL
PSI23=.7236021*ZETA*EERFC
S=0.
SUM=0.
TEMP=1.
DO 22 I=1,6
TEMP=TEMP*Z
SUM=SUM+BM(1)*TEMP
S=S+AN(1)*(SUM+.25)
22 CONTINUE
S=S+.25
ZEX=2.1708037*ZETA*DEXP(Z/6.)
PS3=(PSI22*(3.*PSI23-ZEX)+1.5*Z*S)*1.5707963281
GO TO 24
23 TWOTHZ=.66666667*Z
E1=(TWOTHZ*(TWOTHZ+2.334733)+.250621)/(TWOTHZ*(TWOTHZ+3.330657)+
X1.681534)
H1=1.-E1
H2=1.5/Z-H1
H3=5./(Z*Z)-1.1111111*H2
H4=26.25/(Z*Z*Z)-1.1666667*H3

```



```

PS3=.58904862*E1-(H1-H2+H3-H4)*.39269908
24 TUT4=.3333333*ASQ*PS3/(HH*HH*HH)
IF (TEST1.LT.15.)GO TO 33
RETURN
33 TUT2=TUT2+(PS3-PSI22*PSI22*1.5707963281)/(HH*HH*HH)
TUT3=TUT3*(1.+3.*( .6366182837*PS3-PSI22*PSI22)/(HH*HH))
TUT4=.3333333*ASQ*PS3/(HH*HH*HH)
RETURN
20 C=.7171*DSQRT((1.+BETA)/BETA)
TUT2=0.
TUT3=0.
TUT4=0.
DO 9 I=1,4
AX=WHY*ALP(I)*C/DSQRT(1.-ALP(I)**2)
CALL QUICKW
PSI=.8862269*ZETA*REW
AKI(I)=.8862269*ZETA*AIMW
DEN(I)=BETA+PSI
RAT(I)=PSI/DEN(I)/(1.-ALP(I)**2)
TUT3=TUT3+RAT(I)*BETA/DEN(I)
AKISQ=AKI(I)**2*ASQ
TUT4=TUT4+(AKISQ/(DEN(I)**2-AKISQ))*RAT(I)
9 TUT2=TUT2+RAT(I)
TUT2=TUT2+AINTZ
TUT2=TUT2*C*.3490058504
TUT3=TUT3+AINTZ*(1.-1./TEST1)
TUT3=TUT3*C*.3490058504
TUT4=TUT4*C*.3490058504
RETURN
END

```




R